

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/534,178 03/24/2000		Hiroshi Utsunomiya	61049	1969		
530	7590	06/12/2006		EXAMINER		
' -		LITTENBERG,	HOYE, MICHAEL W			
KRUMHOL: 600 SOUTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELI			2623			

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/534,178	UTSUNOMIYA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael W. Hoye	2623		

)	I Michael W. Hoye	;	2023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as wing replies: (1) ar otice of Appeal (wit	filing a Notice of a amendment, af th appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejectior	1.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONT	HS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	'Ò6.07(f).	, ,		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of evenunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corr shortened statutory per than three months	esponding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contact. 	onsideration and/or	_		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		al by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		mber of finally re	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached	Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-17.				
Claim(s) rejected: 7,2 and 4-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all reject	tions under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of t	he claims after e	entry is below or attact	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place	the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PT	O-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. Other:		5	2	
		JOHN	MILLER	
		SUPERVISORY TECHNOLOG	PATENT EXAMINER Y CENTER 2600	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues: proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 7 and 12, as well as dependent claims 13-17, would require further consideration and/or updated search.